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Crafting “Gay” Children
AN INQUIRY INTO THE ABUSE OF VULNERABLE CHILDREN
VIA ESTABLISHMENT MEDIA & THE SCHOOLROOM

[1]
This paper will focus upon three related issues. First, a brief comment on the role of mainstream media in shaping public opinion by suppressing truth in the service of special sexual interests. Second, an overview of Alfred Kinsey’s role in promoting the current cultural advances in pedophilia and homosexuality. Lastly, the schoolroom initiation of children into heterosexual and bi/homosexual sex, as feeding child prostitution, chiefly “[t]ightly run organizations” that traffic in roughly half-a million boy prostitutes.[1]

Gay liberationists in general, and boy-lovers in particular, should know Kinsey’s work and hold it dear…. implicit in Kinsey is the struggle we fight today.[2]

North American Man/Boy Love Association (NAMBLA) 1981

PROJECT 10, named after Kinsey’s (1948) estimate that 10% of the population is exclusively homosexual, originally envisioned as an in-school counseling program…. has become a district-wide and nation-wide forum for the articulation of the needs of lesbian, gay, and bisexual teenagers.[3]

Virginia Uribe, Coming Out of the Classroom Closet (1991)

Gay men view these boys as recreational toys to be used. I have heard many stories of HIV positive men having unprotected sex with boys. They don't think it matters.[4]


Kinsey’s carefully planned publicity program was designed to create an international mass media sensation which would appear to be spontaneous and unanticipated.[5]


Dr. Reisman’s study supports the conclusion that Alfred Kinsey’s research was contrived, ideologically driven and misleading. Any judge, legislator or other public official who gives credence to that research is guilty of malpractice and dereliction of duty.


I. SETTING THE STAGE: BI/HOMOSEXUALITY AND FREE SPEECH

In his famous June 1978 Harvard commencement address Aleksander Solzhenitsyn indicted America’s mass media for the betrayal of its office:

The press can both stimulate public opinion and miseducate it…The press has become the greatest power within the Western countries, more powerful than the legislature, the executive, and judiciary. One would then like to ask: By what law has it been elected and to whom is it responsible.[7]

Based on its free speech privileges, the American press is charged with providing a “window to the world” by which the polity may locate the source of a social malaise, evaluate and correct it. But, if establishment media--news and entertainment--broadcasts disinformation and conceals adverse information about a matter or malaise, than the Fourth Estate becomes an unelected fifth column directing law and public policy. Especially now, when the millions donated to gay and lesbian charities and social service agencies are supplementing millions in federal and state AIDS Prevention funds to retrain schoolchildren, gay and lesbian groups are actively recrafting the national personality.
The National Lesbian and Gay Journalists Association (NLGJA) website states that it “works from within the news industry to foster fair and accurate coverage of lesbian and gay issues and opposes newsroom bias against lesbians, gay men and all other minorities.” Much of the Fourth Estate currently discriminates by employing only bi/homosexuals to cover “sexual orientation” issues, further compromising the public’s ability to obtain unbiased reports. On the evidence, what the NLGJA views as “fair” and “accurate” and what it views as “bias” evidences a serious conflict of interest.

Joseph Farah, editor-in-chief of *WorldNetDaily*, described the problem as follows:

There’s an indelicate old newspaper saying that summarizes succinctly the way the industry traditionally viewed the issue of personal and journalistic conflicts of interest. The curmudgeonly city editor would say to his reporter: "Hey, I don't care if you sleep with elephants, just don't cover the circus."

That was the American journalistic standard for a long time -- right up until the 1970s. Today, I'm sorry to say, the circus is being covered by people sleeping in the elephant tent, the hyena cage, the sheep exhibit and the gerbil display. We have witnessed in the last quarter decade the transition of American journalism from a profession of disinterested chroniclers to one more akin to a band of lobbyists using the press to support activist causes.

One of the most effective pressure groups in this brave new media world is the National Lesbian and Gay Journalists Association....Have you ever wondered why coverage of homosexuals and their cause is so universally positive? Now you know. The NLGJA's president works at the Dallas Morning News. One vice president works at CNN. Another works at Newsday. The treasurer works at the New York Times. The secretary works for USA Today. Looks like they've got most of the bases covered.....Barbara Walters, Lesley Stahl, New York Times Publisher Arthur Ochs Sulzberger ....[participate in NLGJA events].

And, following up on the above column, on September 13, Farah reported on the NLGJA convention in San Francisco:

I told you this conference was important for one reason -- it's the best example of how our national press corps has become a band of activists, promoting political and cultural causes under the guise of objective news reporting.

While I have been chronicling the activities of this group for several years, it wasn't until the 10th anniversary convention in San Francisco last weekend that the group truly came out of the closet with regard to its own activist agenda. This is not, as the group has portrayed itself, a "professional organization" that promotes higher standards, or gives its members a chance to compare notes, or an outfit that plans good parties for homosexual journalists once a year. It is, instead, a group that has bent so far toward changing the newsroom culture that the big debate in San Francisco was whether journalists should even bother getting other points of view on homosexuals' issues and stories....

CBS correspondent and NLJGA member Jeffrey Kofman made his thinking clear: "The argument (is): Why do we constantly see in coverage of gay and lesbian, bisexual and transgender issues the homophobes and the fag-haters quoted in stories when, of course, we don't do that with Jews, blacks, et
cetera?"

Paula Madison, vice president of diversity at NBC and news director for the NBC's New York City affiliate WNBC, added: "I agree with him. I don't see why we would seek out ... the absurd, inane point of view just to get another point of view."

...Up until now, lip service has been paid to the notion of balance in the press. Even though we all know it is a myth and that the corporate media are in bed with the very groups they claim to be covering objectively, there was a "pretense" toward fairness. That's gone. Hasta la vista. Bye-bye.[10]

Farah’s charges are supported by the ongoing draconian efforts to censor “Dr. Laura’s” media access by threatening her sponsors, and local disruption, despite the desire of at least 18 million Americans to hear her views and by the one-sided mass media support of homosexuals revolutionaries against the Boy Scouts.[11]

The normalizing of bi/homosexuality seems to have so overtaken the media that the press totally ignored two 1999, *Annals of General Psychiatry* studies correlating homosexuality with significantly higher levels of mental illness than among the population at large.[12] A Westlaw search did not reveal a single newspaper story in the United States reporting either of the two studies. Farah is correct. By 1996 the press rarely covered scientific research unfavorable to gay activists while repeatedly citing those studies that allege bi/homosexuality is healthy and biologically determined.

Although Farah notes the NLGJA has only recently become candid about its agenda that blatancy is the product of a well considered and brilliantly executed strategy that began about the time the NGLJA was founded. Below is the diagram provided by homosexual activists Marshall Kirk and Hunter Madsen (*After The Ball*, 1989) addressing what they call their bi/homosexual “conspiracy” (in their own words) to create a bi/homosexual revolution. Kirk’s psychological background and Madsen’s Madison Avenue commercial advertising background enabled the two Harvard graduates to market a new homosexual public face. Kirk and Madsen argued that the AIDS epidemic could “conquer” American resistance to “Gays in the ‘90s.”

In sum, this would be accomplished by citing Alfred Kinsey’s now-discredited claims of 10 to 37 percent male homosexuality, by covering up male homosexual promiscuity and predation toward youth and boys, and by claiming to be traditionalists, victims of religious bigotry. M&Ks “Portfolio of Pro-Gay Advertising” (:216-245) has been the national model for the revolutionary efforts. To change public attitudes toward homosexuals, M&K explain, activists must target an “unbigoted” audience, with the most unbigoted audience being children. Instead of free and open debate, M&K advocate silencing critics, desensitizing mainstream America to bi/homosexuality, “jamming” any contrary information and converting and mobilizing sympathetic political forces to attain the revolutionary goals.

---
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Even now, purging/silencing critics from mainstream academic and media channels involves
homosexual activists demonizing those they cannot “desensitize.” M&K explain how to depict people (like this author) who would challenge bi/homosexuality as a healthy norm:

[Following our techniques] propagandist advertisement can depict homophobic and homo-hating bigots as crude loudmouths and assholes—people who say not only ‘faggot’ but ‘nigger,’ ‘kike,’ and other shameful epithets—who are ‘not Christian.’ It can show them being criticized, hated, shunned. It can depict gays experiencing horrific suffering as the direct result of the homo-hatred—suffering of which even most bigots would be ashamed to be the cause. It can, in short, link homo-hating bigotry with all sorts of attributes the bigot would be ashamed to possess, and with social consequences he would find unpleasant and scary.[13]

“Diffusion of Innovation”: The success of this small group of dedicated revolutionaries is explained in Philip Kotler’s classic work, *Marketing Management*. Kotler documents how controlled news is used to “both stimulate public opinion and miseducate” it. Kotler reports that in a successful media campaign, 2½% of our social leaders will sway roughly 13% of the public, who later move another 34%, and so on until a majority accept and adapt the proffered new product or idea.[14]

This diffusion is visible in the shift from the Pre-Kinsey 1950s, view of homosexuality as caused by early familial and/or sexual trauma, to the Post-Kinsey view of homosexuality as normal and children as “born gay.” The shift was sustained by the Fourth Estate—turning a police raid on a boy prostitution and pedophile hub (the 1969 Stonewall Riots) into a clarion call for sexual and civil rights. And the diffusion is seen in the magical transformation of AIDS from a fatal, infectious disease caused largely by violent forms of aberrant promiscuity into a “homophobic” attack on minority rights.[15]

The on-going media campaign effect is visible in the eight-year shift from youthful rejection to acceptance, even practice of homosexuality. A recent “teen poll” conducted by the establishment upscale media teen magazine *Seventeen*, claimed that 17% of teens polled in 1991 versus 54% polled in 1999 accept homosexuality as appropriate. *Seventeen* casually noted: “Teens today are likely to experiment: 21%… have fantasized about fooling around with someone of the same sex—and 15% say they have actually done so.”[16]

As in *Seventeen*, at the same time that establishment media carelessly announces juvenile homosexual experimentation, it suppresses data on the culture of inter-gay violence—men battered and killed by pickups and prostitutes—although these cases are often reported by the homosexual press itself. Especially hidden by both homosexual and establishment media are the data on homosexual violence against children and inter-gay “domestic” battery, discussed in some detail in this monograph.

**No “Gay Marches” Condemn Homosexual Rapists of Boys**

Perhaps one of the most memorable media events of 1998 was the murder of Matthew Shepard, a freshman at the University of Wyoming. The Shepard murder is a case study in media bias and the politicization strategies outlined by M&K. Shepard was lured from a bar, robbed, beaten mercilessly, and left unconscious (he later died in a hospital). The press and gay activists quickly turned Shepard’s death into a *cause celebre*, assigning blame for a “culture of hate” on conservative Christians.

The Media Research Center documented how establishment media, including NBC Today, *Time*, and *Newsweek*, blamed Christians and conservatives for an “anti-gay climate” that resulted in the death of Matthew Shepard. In an October 12, 1998 interview with Wyoming Gov. Jim Geringer, *Today* co-host Katie Couric framed her opinion in the form of a question:

Some gay rights activists have said that some conservative political organizations like
the Christian Coalition, the Family Research Council and Focus on the Family are contributing to this anti-homosexual atmosphere by having an ad campaign saying if you are a homosexual you can change your orientation. That prompts people to say, “If I meet someone who’s homosexual, I’m going to take action to try to convince them or try to harm them. Do you believe that such groups are contributing to this climate?"[17]

In his weekly column, L. Brent Bozell, director of the Media Research Center, was outraged that conservatives were “somehow responsible for the Oklahoma City bombing; that pro-life activists somehow encouraged the killing of abortionists” and now endanger gays and lesbians.[18] Bozell observed that mainstream environmentalists were untarnished when the “Earth Liberation Front” set a Colorado ski resort ablaze, while conservatives were blamed for the deaths of Shepard and an abortion doctor.[19]

Whether intended or not, the media’s portrayal of Shepard’s murder followed the precise strategy advocated by M&K – silence those who disagree with the agenda, demonizing them by associating opponents with Hitler, murderers, racists and the like.

However, the conservative/Christian groups slandered by “gay” revolutionaries and the press always condemned mistreatment or persecution of homosexuals. Moreover, also ignored was the Wisconsin police finding that while Shepard’s orientation factored into the killers’ decision, robbery was the main motive for the murder.

On the other hand, the press ignored the nearly simultaneous rape-murder of a 13-year-old Arkansas boy, Jesse Dirkhising found “bound, gagged….repeatedly raped in a sado–masochistic ritual” by two trusted homosexual “partners”–friends of the child’s mother.[20] Likewise, the press ignored the 1997 rape/murder of Jeffrey Curley, age 10, by two homosexual “partners” until the parents filed a $200 million lawsuit against the North American Man/Boy Love Association (NAMBLA).[21] Yet knowledge of any and all such bi/homosexually-driven violence is critical if parents and the polity are to make informed choices about leaving their children with bi/homosexual acquaintances or authorities.

Parents could have been informed about the homosexual AIDS infected Minneapolis man recently arrested for anally sodomizing a 4-year old boy. A brief Minneapolis press story notes the 4-year-old was found with “bruises on his neck indicating choking” and “in shock.” He led his mother “to a room where…[v]omit and feces were on the floor. The boy told her that a man covered his mouth and forced him to his hands and knees and hurt him.” With no prior convictions the accused rapist-qua murderer could be eligible for release within 16 years.[22] There has been no public condemnation of these killers and rapists by the organized “gay community."

The Gay/Media Effort to Create Heterosexual AIDS Fears

Evidence of the impact of bi/homosexual “jamming” and “silencing” on research and journalism abounds. Former Chief of Orthopedic Surgery at San Francisco General Hospital, Dr. Lorraine Day in AIDS: What the Government Isn’t Telling You, (1991) writes:

In our present academic atmosphere, controlled by grants, and hence, by politics that often are special interest politics, it is all too advantageous for someone with a yen for recognition and advancement to publish a “scientific” article that toes the party line. [23]
Dr. Day documents some homosexual revolutionaries' efforts to infect the national blood banks, citing the collection of blood in the gay Castro district of San Francisco. “Special interest blackmail dictates policy….The likes of Castro blood drives are palmed off as humanitarian enterprises when, in fact, they are concessions to gay politics.”[24] Dr. Day cites an excerpt from the article by Robert Schwab, former president of the Texas Human Rights Foundation in the *Dallas Gay News*, May 20, 1983.

There has come the idea that if research money (for AIDS) is not forthcoming at a certain level by a certain date, all gay males should give blood. . . . Whatever action is required to get national attention is valid. If that includes blood terrorism, so be it. [Emphasis added.] [25]

“Blood terrorism?” In 1990 while the *Los Angeles Times* quietly noted that 24 percent of intravenous drug abusers studied who donated blood to the blood bank had HIV, [26] no data were reported on what percentage of this group were bi/homosexual. The effort to integrate AIDS into the heterosexual world as a means to force a cure appears as a revolutionary strategy in the immense “gay” literature. Homosexual activist Randy Shilts, *And the Band Played On*, documents the efforts of gay organizations which “firmly opposed taking any action to screen blood donors saying the screening would pose serious civil rights questions.” Shilts fairly reported that “hemophiliac organizations were stunned by the gay perspective. What about a hemophiliac’s right to life? They asked.” [27] Despite Shilts’ insider expose, the prevailing plan of gay organizations and a greedy blood bank industry to allow innocent Americans to be infected with and to die from AIDS was unreported by mainstream American media.

While failing to massively infect heterosexuals, Michael Fumento in *The Myth of Heterosexual AIDS* exhaustively documents how gay terrorists deliberately represented AIDS as a threat to heterosexuals in order to get research funding and sympathy. Yet the current CDC Website—“HIV/AIDS Surveillance Report, Vol. 11, No. 2,” data through December, 1999, identifies HIV infection cases caused by alleged “heterosexual” contact at 9% for 1999, 7%, cumulative total and AIDS cases caused by heterosexual contact at 8% for 1999, and 4% cumulative total.

**Do Men Really Beat Men or Boys Who “Love” Them?**

When “dirty linen” is hidden, public debate is uninformed and distorted. Homosexual authors David Island and Patrick Letellier expose inter-gay violence in their book, *Men Who Beat the Men Who Love Them*, estimating that up to “650,000 gay men” [28] are annually battered, “a gay man is abused… every 90 seconds.” [29] How many of these battered men die at the hands of other homosexual men? Yet, even Island and Letellier find establishment media and the homosexual media will not print the truth about inter-gay violence. Why? They say:

- It would be just plain bad press for gays and…all bad news needs to be suppressed… [Add the authors] gay men truly…have a proportionate share of violent individuals in their midst who bash other gay men [and boys] in startlingly high numbers.[30] The gay community needs to recognize that wealthy, white educated, “politically correct” gay men batter their lovers.[31]

As Farah noted, much of the Fourth Estate currently discriminates by employing only bi/homosexuals to cover “sexual orientation” issues, further compromising the public’s ability to obtain unbiased reports. [32] In a rare “politically incorrect” media event the popular television drama “ER” [33] showcased inter-gay male battery as a not uncommon occurrence in emergency wards—and
one that too often has led to domestic “homicides.”

As homosexual activists have shifted their lobbying efforts to schoolrooms coast-to-coast, over the electronic fence of e-mail, outraged parents, neighbor to neighbor, are reporting the radical forms of “pedagogical eros”[34] taught to schoolchildren. In his book on boy prostitution, For Money or Love, liberal reporter Robin Lloyd, confirms the Island and Lettellier admissions, adding that the press suppresses police reports of raids and arrests of adults preying on young boys:

[The police raids] usually go unreported by the press. Both wire services, the Associated Press and the United Press International, file the stories to their clients (newspapers, radio stations, and television stations), but the stories are always preceded with the cautionary “EDITORS” (NOTE NATURE OF THE STORY).” Editors do…and often elect not to use them.[35]

Further on, the barbarous dearth of funds for research on the connection between child sexual abuse and pediatric AIDS is addressed as an example of politics corrupting the discovery of life-saving facts about bi/homosexuality and AIDS.

“Zapping” Homosexual Opposition

So the censorious tactics of gay activists are not limited to the media. Toby Morotta, an “out” Harvard homosexual PhD writes of “How the media and establishment politicians were used to build gay power” in his seminal work, The Politics of Homosexuality (1981). Marotta states that in the 1970s, members of the Gay Activists Alliance--trained in “zapping…. GAA’s trademark,”[36] of any who rebuffed homosexuality[37] -- formed the “Gay Academic Union,” (GAU) made up of faculty and students in major universities. Like the NIH, professional journals have commonly assigned GAU and other homosexual peer reviewers to research touching on homosexuality, generally resulting in a quick death to possible unfavorable findings.[38]

The GAU has long fought for domination of its worldview within the academic community. One popularized establishment academician, Kate Millet, counseled the members of the GAU “we are powered and have lived and survived on the value, the energy of our [homosexual] passion.” Millet argued that the nation should be changed to accept this “army of lovers” who will bring “the power of eros” into the lives of all Americans.[39] In her interview in the pedophile activist’s revolutionary organ, Paidika, The Journal of Pedophilia’s “Special Woman’s Issue,” Millet calls for “an emancipation proclamation for children” to legalize what she calls children’s “non-exploitive” sex with adults or children, “probably heroic and very wonderful.”[40]

As mentioned previously, researchers and academics with politically incorrect results or opinions may find their careers at a sudden dead end. Moreover, college campuses – ostensibly the bastion of intellectual freedom – commonly exclude conservative thinkers and have become a haven for pseudo-Marxist extremists.[41]

Ex-gay speakers and those critical of the homosexual movement face such silencing everywhere. The outspoken and brilliant “pagan” lesbian Camille Paglia described her reaction to the tactics employed by ACT-UP, a radical homosexual organization:

…ACT-UP’s hysteria made me reconsider those vilified therapists and ministers who
think change of homosexual orientation is possible and whose meetings are constantly disrupted by gay agitators. Is gay identifying so fragile that it cannot bear the thought that some people may not wish to be gay?... If a gay man wants to marry and sire children, why should he be harassed by gay activists... strafed by gay artillery fire of reverse moralism. Heterosexual love... is in sync with cosmic forces... Not everyone has the stomach for daily war with nature. [42]

Paglia’s observation that “Heterosexual love... is in sync with cosmic forces” is one, which is not supported, in the current political climate.

The official Statement of Policy for the National Association for Research and Therapy of Homosexuality (NARTH), psychoanalysts, psychologists and other behavioral scientists as well as those in law, religion and education addresses, identifies academic censorship and conscious misinformation as the impetus for forming their organization.

With the sharing of knowledge, NARTH serves as an antidote to the misinformation disseminated by the media, as well as the misinformation of some of our own psychological and psychiatric professional organizations. The homosexual, his or her family, and the public have a right of access to scientific information. We believe this is true even when this information runs counter to the ideas of socio-political activists.... During the last twenty years, powerful political pressures have done much to erode scientific exploration and study of this disorder. Many researchers have been intimidated into trading truth for silence.... Homosexuality distorts the natural bond of friendship that would normally unite persons of the same sex. It works against society’s essential male/female design and family unit. Yet today children from kindergarten and beyond are being taught in public school that homosexuality is nothing but a normal, healthy option.... Our task is to discuss issues misrepresented by social-activist groups, who have portrayed sexual deviancy as a normal way of life. [43]

Gagnon Says Hide Gay Origins. Absent any biological evidence of homosexuality, those now labeled “lesbian, gay, and bisexual teenagers” are exhibiting classical symptoms of childhood trauma (e.g., parental violence, alcoholism, sex abuse and/or other dysfunctions). Concerned lest the public become aware of the traumatic histories of most allegedly “gay” youth, by 1987, National Research Council advisor and Kinsey researcher, John Gagnon, told sex researchers to hide the causes of homosexuality:

The wish of some gay men and lesbians to locate the origins for their desires in... biology or early experience deserves a respectful response even though I think it to be wrong... Attempts to placate the oppressors will only invite further persecution. The source of freedom in everyday life for gay men and lesbians is continued vigilance and practical political action.[44]

Eager to conceal any causes rooted in bad parenting or early sex abuse which suggest adult responsibility, even crime, Kinseyans, supported by the media, formed groups like, PROJECT 10, PFLAG, (Parents and Friends of Lesbians and Gays) and later, GLBT, (Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual And Transgendered) youth. Since historical, cross-cultural, literary and testimonial evidence clearly identifies a homosexual etiology of family disorders, it is understandable that many parents zealously insist their child was “born that way,” avoiding the personal blame and public disgrace inherent in their offspring having been placed in harm’s way. But, how did 21% of America’s leaders—largely America’s intellectual community--adopt these radical new views on sex and homosexuality?

II. PRE AND POST “KINSEY ERAS”
To answer that question we turn to Alfred C. Kinsey. In 1989 the prestigious National
Research Council proclaimed that sex science, "can be divided somewhat crudely into the pre-Kinsey and post-Kinsey eras." On April 23, 2000 The New York Times Book Review celebrated the fact that Indiana University had given America, “the man whose studies started the sex revolution.” And, on April 17, 2000, Salon’s Scott McLemee wrote:

Kinsey’s effect on society was profound and enduring. It did not rise and fall with his bestseller status... [but became] the manifestoes of sexual revolution and the counterculture.... The history of sex in America falls into two large, unequal, yet clearly defined periods. The first era belonged to the Puritans, the Victorians...This epoch of libidinal prohibition lasted until Jan. 4, 1948. The following day, Professor Alfred C. Kinsey of Indiana published Sexual Behavior in the Human Male.

Whereupon, as the expression has it, the earth moved. [Emphasis added.]

True. Since 1948, the Kinsey canon revolutionized and refashioned the American libido. As NAMBLA says, any who would understand the modern theater of “sexual orientation” must “know Kinsey’s work” for implicit in it is the struggle for pedophile control of America’s children.

Kinsey: The Media Myth. Many Americans born post WWII may not recall the Kinsey team and their reports, Sexual Behavior in the Human Male (1948) and Sexual Behavior in the Human Female (1953). A vast and meticulously orchestrated media-relations campaign made Kinsey a household name, heralded as a folk hero in over 70 percent of the nation’s press. Life, Look, Time and every other major mainstream magazine promoted his bold sex “findings” as fearless scientific truth.

Indiana University had set the stage by posing zoologist Kinsey as a conservative Republican academic, a family man, who serendipitously stumbled onto sex research only to discover (surprise!) that while Americans pretended to be virtuous, virginal, monogamous and faithfully heterosexual, they were really hypocritical, promiscuous, closeted bi/homosexual adventurers.

The Reality: The Kinsey team, not America’s parents, played false. Kinsey’s most recent admiring biographers both confessed he was a sadistic bi/homosexual who seduced his male students and coerced his wife, his staff and their wives to perform for and with him in illegal pornographic films made in the family attic. Kinsey and his mates-- Wardell Pomeroy, Clyde Martin and Paul Gebhard--had “front” marriages, which concealed their strategies to supplant what they saw as a narrow procreational Judeo/Christian era with a promiscuous “anything goes” bi/gay pedophile paradise. An early adherent and advocate of masturbation, Kinsey suffered an untimely death due, at least in part, to “orchitis,” a lethal infection in his testicles that followed years of sadistic, orgiastic “self-abuse.” Now at the time of this writing, new myths are in preparation as 20th Century Fox announced plans for a major film on Kinsey’s life, with Tom Hanks or Harrison Ford glamorizing the Indiana pedophile advocate revolutionary.

The Research Methodology: Kinsey’s closet team 1) “forced” subjects to give the desired answers to their sex questions, 2) secretly trashed ¾ of their research data, and 3) based their claims about normal males on a roughly 86% aberrant male population including 200 sexual psychopaths, 1,400 sex offenders and hundreds each of prisoners, male prostitutes and promiscuous homosexuals. 4) Moreover, so few normal women would talk to them that the Kinsey team labeled women who lived over a year with a man “married,” reclassifying prostitutes and other unconventional women as ‘Susie Homemaker.’

The Children: Kinsey solicited, encouraged, and even paid pedophiles--at home and
abroad—to sexually violate from 317 to 2,035 infants and children for his alleged data on normal “child sexuality.”[59] Many of the crimes against children (oral and anal sodomy, genital intercourse and manual abuse) committed by “Kinsey’s pedophiles” for his data are quantified in his own graphs and charts.[60]

For example, “Table 34” on page 181 of Kinsey’s Male volume, claims to be a “scientific” record of “multiple orgasm in pre-adolescent males.” Here, infants as young as 5 months are timed with a stop watch for “orgasm” by Kinsey’s “technically trained” aides, with one 4-year-old, tested 24-hours around the clock for an alleged 26 orgasms.[61] These child “data” are commonly quoted by sex educators, pedophiles and their advocates to prove children’s need for homosexual, heterosexual, bisexual satisfaction via “safe”-sex education.[62]

The Conspiracy of Silence. How could a dry, scientific tome be hyped globally to bestseller status and draw no questions from skeptical reporters or scientists as to where children for human sexual experiments were obtained when the nation was still reeling from Nuremberg? In his Male volume, Kinsey defined children’s torment (“screaming,” “writhing in pain,” “fainting,” “convulsions” etc.),[63] as “orgasms” for infants too young to speak. Who sexually tested these children? Where were the parents? Among thousands of international reviews of the Kinsey Reports, no one asked these questions of the man who—as Gore Vidal declared—was “the most famous man for a decade,”[64] and who, more specifically for this writing, is the man the homosexual and pedophile movement thank for their advance.[65]

Law and The Social Sciences. Westlaw journal articles cite Kinsey positively roughly 650 times (1982-2000) from hate crimes and homosexual marriage to child custody and rape. The Social Science and Science Citation Indices reference Kinsey roughly 6,000 times over this same period.

On the evidence, Kinsey is far and away the most influential sex scientist in the law.[66] Fully 100% of the American sex science citations in the original 1955 American Law Institute’s “Model Penal Code” cite Kinsey’s data—alive today in courts and legislatures.

Slandering Dissenters. His critics were largely ignored and/or slandered by Kinsey and establishment media, as sexually repressed, ignorantly religious, mean spirited, unscientific, and backward. Continued media applause prized Kinsey as the prophet of the sexual revolution. This author’s book on the subject,[67] argues that America’s growing libidinous pathologies—taught as “safe,” then, “safer” sex in primary, secondary and graduate schools and reflected in our fine and popular arts, the press, law and public policy—largely mirror the documented[68] sexual psychopathologies of the Kinsey team itself.[69]

This “free sex” movement coarsened the populace by demoting sex from its rarified, idealistic marital pedestal and private expression into public display, discourse and performance. One of the expressions of Kinsey’s “grand scheme” would be the revival of the traffic in both adult and child heterosexual and homosexual prostitution and pornography.

III. IMPLEMENTING THE KINSEY DATA

Post-Kinsey, Hefner And Hay Launch The Sex Industry: “The White Slave Trade,” sex traffic in women and children was finally crippled in 1912 by the Mann Act, based on the national view that children are asexual and deserving of governmental protection from adult sexual predators. Child prostitution centers closed everywhere, and venereally infected and dying children were nursed and buried by women’s church groups. However, Post-Kinsey’s sexual revolution the child sex industry rose again,[70] carried forward by two Kinsey acolytes.
A virginal college youth, Hugh Hefner, read Kinsey and became his *Playboy* “pamphleteer” aiming to legitimize “adult” (and, more subtly, *child*) pornography.[71] Harry Hay, a bisexual communist (molested as a boy by a man)[72] read Kinsey’s claim that 10% of men are homosexual, left his wife and children and began the campaign to legitimize sodomy. Hay elevated sodomy from a defining pathological and illegal act to a state of being. Homosexuals, Hay said, are an oppressed “minority”[73] deserving special or civil rights thereby spawning the “gay” rights movement. Flowing continuously from this movement of approximately two percent of the American population has been a thriving traffic in male child pornography and prostitution.[74]

Kinsey’s anthem of sexual promiscuity without consequences would be played out over the decades. But Kinsey’s data were silent on the disastrous consequences of “public sex”—prostitution, public sexual solicitations, sodomy and obscenity, venereal disease, “illegitimacy,” abortion, drug abuse, suicide, rape, homicide, child sexual abuse and a myriad of other social disorders.

**Abandoning “Public Morals”:** Since Kinsey proved the sexual life had no bad consequences for undifferentiated promiscuity, Kinsey, Hefner and Hay said, laws restricting public or private sexual activity were obsolete. Post 1948, pressured by media, scholars and with the legal profession parroting the Rockefeller-funded Kinsey, the omnipresent “vice squads” rapidly disappeared.[75] Kinsey’s fallacy of promiscuous, public-sex-without-consequences fueled promiscuity, two dozen new STDs and the lethal AIDS. Kinsey admirer and Princeton historian David Allyn explained that,

[Kinsey undermined] the very legitimacy of public morality itself… *In the post-WWII era, experts abandoned the concept of “public morals,” a concept that had underpinned the social control of American sexuality from the 1870's onward…. Kinsey’s… silence when it came to questions of public sexuality… served Kinsey’s deregulatory ends.*[76] [Emphasis added.]

**Normalizing Sodomy:** Post-Kinsey, if sodomy and pubic sex were normal and harmless, it was logical for police and press to ignore homosexual “cruising,” of public parks, baths, rest rooms and other public spaces. It was also logical to ignore sex acts in heterosexual and homosexual “adult” pornography stores, films and other public entertainments. “Consent” became the single moral imperative surrounding sexual conduct for adults, and shortly, for children.[77]

**Psychiatry Follows Kinsey:** Pre-Kinsey say the authors in *The International Journal of Neuropsychiatric Medicine*, psychiatry taught prostitution, “bi/homosexuality, sadism, masochism, promiscuity and compulsive masturbation as unhealthy.” But, “Kinsey” and his followers taught clinicians that “sexual hypofunction…is natural, healthy, and pleasurable. Mastery of masturbation is now seen as an important first lesson for the patient or couple undergoing sex therapy.”[78]

Without a belief in “sin” or immorality, psychiatry and psychology must rely on “sex scientists” to inform the professions on sexuality issues. Thus, following the Kinsey model that all sodomy is normal and natural, the American Psychiatric Association (APA) determined homosexuality, sadism and pedophilia can also be normal and unproblematic.[79]

**Children As Sexual From Birth:** Post-Kinsey, his pedophile data[80] claiming that children are “sexual from birth” are carved into the fabric of science, pedagogy and law. This “fact” with all of its far-reaching societal consequences has taken root in the mindset of most psychiatrists, pediatricians, psychologists, sexologists, pedagogues, law and justice professionals, media moguls, theologians, public policy makers, and other movers and shakers worldwide.

**Juvenile Sexual Entitlement:** Kinsey’s pedophile data “proving” infants and children capable and deserving of orgasm from birth and homosexuality and sodomy as normal has justified teaching
“sexual diversity” from grade school onward. When both the Bible and biology were abandoned as models for restricting dangerous or coarsening sexual activity, the pedagogical and personality vacuum was filled by the Kinsey advocates of ‘juvenile sexual entitlement.’ With childbirth and STD’s the only undesirable sexual outcome, and sodomy and masturbation (alone, in dyads or groups) taught as part of a well-rounded sexual repertoire and harmless contracepting schoolchildren could be smoothly socialized and included in the bi/homosexual adventure.

IV. A VULNERABLE POOL OF SEXUALLY MOLESTED CHILDREN

Between 17-25% of Boys Sexually Molested: In their FBI Report on “Child Pornography and Sex Rings,” Lanning and Burgess (1984) regard “one in six” sexually abused boys as underreported:

The numbers for male victimization are more hidden, perhaps because boys are reluctant to admit to being victimized. However, clinical data are increasingly suggesting that boys may be at equal risk for sexual victimization since they are the preferred target of habitual pedophiles and victims of child sex rings.\[81\]

In their comparison study of The Advocate, (the premier homosexual periodical) and The Washingtonian, (a preeminent “heterosexual” periodical) with equivalent reader demographics (liberal, affluent, largely white, educated, etc.) Reisman & Johnson\[82\] analyzed male heterosexual versus male homosexual information about pedophilia in the “In Search Of” advertisements and attendant research:

- 0.45% Washingtonian heterosexual bachelors (n=2,885) “in search of/offer” man-girl sex.
- 15% Advocate homosexual bachelors (n=7,407) “in search of/offer” man-boy sex.
- 49% “Gay” male biographies (n=166) reporting sex with boys.\[83\]
- 41% “Gay” travel in foreign countries (n=139) rating laws on sex with boys.\[84\]

Figure 1: Abel's Child Abuse Data

- 21% of Advocate readers (n=2,500) reporting adult molestation before age 15.\[85\]
- 73% of “gay” men reporting sex with boys 16-19 or younger.\[86\]
- 100 boys a year, plus, reporting molestation by a Boy Scout Leader.\[87\]
- 150 boy homosexual victims versus 20 girl heterosexual victims per child molester (Abel, see left).
- 153 homosexual offenders assaulting 22,981 boys (Abel, see left).
- 224 heterosexual offenders assaulting 4,435 girls (Abel, see left).
150 Boy Versus 20 Girl Victims Per Child Molester

The rate of homosexual versus heterosexual child sexual abuse is staggering. Psychologist, Eugene Abel’s data of 150.2 boys abused per male homosexual offender finds no equal (yet) in heterosexual violations of 19.8 girls. [88] Said Abel, homosexuals, sexually molest young boys with an incidence that is occurring from five times greater that the molestation of girls.. [N]onincarcerated child molesters admitted to from 23.4 to 281.7 acts per offender.... whose targets were males” (Abel et. al., at 32-50. 44, 48). [89]

These data are fully supported by pre-Kirk and Madsen homosexual political writings, in Karla Jay and Allen Young’s homophile works, Out of the Closets (1972) and The Gay Report (1979). The former published several “Gay Manifestos” which boldly demand elimination of the age of consent by arguing,

[K]ids can take care of themselves, and are sexual beings way earlier than we’d like to admit…nice bodies and young bodies are attributes, they’re groovy. [90]

Jay and Young’s finding of 50 to 73 percent of homosexual males self-reporting sex with boys [91] (even Advocate readers admitted to a 21 percent molestation rate by age 15), is much higher then the one in six or seven boys child protection data estimates as boy abuse by bi/homosexual males.

Figure 2: Rates of Heterosexual Girl Abuse v. Homosexual Boy Abuse

The homosexual population has been internationally identified in France, England and the USA as between 1% and 3% (in a range of 1.5% to 2%). [92] Hard data confirm international research and law enforcement reports—the roughly 2% homosexual population harbors a vast pederast boy abuse
subculture. While statistically correct, since 100% of homosexual males do not sexually assault boys, a vast “gay” subset commits multiple, repeated child sex offenses. Much child sexual abuse goes unreported and some unsubstantiated. Hence, the following statistics are to be viewed with caution as reflecting the best available current data.

Of 90 million adult males:

- ~9% of heterosexual men (~86-88 million) victimize 8 million (25%) girls.[93]
- ~100%+ homosexual men (~2 million) victimize 6 to 8 million (17% to 24%) boys.[94]
- ~3 to 4.5 boys are victimized per homosexual male. [95]
- ~1 girl is victimized per 11 heterosexual males. [96]
- ~50% of white gays had 500 plus, sexual partners, the rest averaged 100-500.[97]
- ~25% of white homosexuals admitted sex with boys, “sixteen years old or younger.”[98]
- ~50%+ of AIDS victims (n=279) had oral or anal sex with an adult male by age 16. [99]
- ~20% of AIDS victims (n=279) had sex with an adult male by age 10 (1981-82).[100]
- ~21% Advocate respondents (n=2,500) "were sexually abused by an adult by age 15.“[101]

The Advocate admitted that almost ten thousand vulnerable, lonely boys, discussed later, initiated into homosexual acts allegedly via “consensual” sodomy, are now nonconsensual AIDS statistics. [102] Due to better medications says the executive director of an AIDS resource center, “[d]eaths may be down, but infections are not.” Why? “Young men think of themselves as immortal” explains another AIDS advisor. Oral sodomy, the standard homosexual act was found to be “the lone risk factor (i.e. likely cause) in 8 percent of HIV infections” while anal sodomy “soared by more than 40 percent” in one year, 1997-98, “800 teen-agers (in many cases an entire high school) will be contracting HIV every year [in Kentucky].”[103] While many would consider the “new” face of AIDS--young boys infecting young girls to be a major problem, by 1989 homosexual leaders saw the problem quite differently.

V. THE PROBLEM? BOYS AVOIDING “GAYS” IN AN ERA OF AIDS

The Solution? Institutionalize “Socialization” Techniques: When the public became aware of AIDS, academic homosexual leaders complained that boys now avoided ‘gay’ men. San Francisco State University Sexuality Professor Gilbert Herdt explained that the growing youthful resistance to homosexuality required access to schools, scouts and other child “institutions” in the mid 1980s. School newspaper ads seeking “gay” youths[104] and proclaiming a battle against gay “suicide” and associated “hate” crimes began to appear regularly. Herdt explained why homosexual recruitment efforts increased:

We had not foreseen that….gay youth would also have to contend with the new horrors of AIDS [that]…teenage gays and lesbians would shun older gays as role models or even as friends[105]…[To meet this challenge, said Herdt] only now has gay culture begun to institutionalize “socialization” techniques for the transmission of its cultural knowledge to a younger generation[106] … [as] local “gay” movements provide their own infrastructural support for the coming out process in teens.[107] [Emphasis added.]

Speaking of the radical homosexual movement, Douglas Feldman, a medical anthropologist claimed, “these kids are our future and we must invest in them.”[108] Yet, he adds that gay and lesbian organizations discourage having “gay” boys tested for HIV.[109] Why? Seldman responded
enigmatically, “Teenagers tend to be very susceptible to sexually transmitted diseases.”

In any event, Feldman observes, these boys “have about a one in four chance of developing AIDS in approximately 5 years.” How to counteract this unforeseen boyish fear of homosexual sex? Methods for youthful indoctrination were proposed in homosexual meetings, discussions, debates and conferences. Write M&K,

The goal here has been to forge a little entente or conspiracy with the power elite, to jump ahead of public sentiment or ignore it altogether… Sometimes the tactic works: many executive orders (which sidestep the democratic process) and ordinances passed by city councils…. constitute political payoffs by elected officials whose candidacy the organized gay community has supported… Generally speaking, the most effective propaganda for our cause must succeed in doing three things at once:

- Employ images that desensitize, jam, and/or convert bigots on an emotional level. This is, by far, the most important task.

- Challenge homo-hating beliefs and actions on an (not too) intellectual level. Remember, the rational message serves to camouflage our underlying emotional appeal…

- Gain access to the kinds of public media that would automatically confer legitimacy upon these messages and, therefore, upon their gay sponsors. To be accepted by the most prestigious media, such as network TV, our messages themselves will have to be--at least initially--both subtle in purpose and crafty in construction.

Methods of socialization into “gay cultural values” “investing” in “these kids” were subsequently developed and implemented. The victim-minority propaganda techniques which Marshall and Kirk diagramed in After The Ball were largely followed to facilitate the current sexual access to all American schoolchildren.

“How To Stop Child Abuse,” is one of a series of full-page ‘fear based’ advertisements designed by Kirk and Madsen to sell the bi/homosexual product to middle America. Propagandizing the false Kinsey data as science, that “one in every ten kids” is gay; the ad poses a forlorn, average young boy of about 13-year-of age. Implicit in the ad language is the threat to parents and boys--this boy can be yours.
The ad says the boy felt “different” when growing up and, realized he was gay. Then he was abused (thrown out of his home) by his parents and brutally rejected by his friends. Since most children feel “different,” telling children this could mean they are “gay” exploits the viewer’s fear even as it encourages homosexual experimentation and recruitment.\[113\]

M&J provide an 8 point “Strategic Evaluation” form to evaluate an ad’s success in overcoming “boyish fears” by vilifying the “straight” world as scoundrels and smearing “parents…his folks” (not gays) as child abusers. This advertisement rates a “Bulls eye” say M&K. For, implicit in the ad “How To Stop Child Abuse” is the boy’s possible suicide—caused by “his folks” intolerance, of yet another “gay teen.” Following is the M & K analysis of the ad:

“STRATEGY: Jamming/ Conversion/ Desensitization. Build straight sympathy and protectiveness toward gays by portraying them as innocent victims of circumstance and bigotry. Teach readers that homosexuality is extremely common. “ [Kinsey’s fraudulent 10 percent claim]

“COMMENT: The headline catches the eye, and trades on the perennial public hysteria about child abuse (which is, of course, often blamed on gays). Then the copy turns the tables on straights: by focusing on teens, the ad portrays gays as innocent and vulnerable, victimized and misunderstood, surprisingly numerous and yet not menacing. It also renders the ‘anti-family’ charge absurd and hypocritical. Bull’s eye.”

However, the truth is that the “public hysteria about child abuse” is grounded in real sexual violence—including sexual violence to boys as recorded throughout this paper. Moreover, on the evidence, comparative heterosexual versus homosexual U.S. population data, as just documented, finds that child abuse should be “often blamed on gays,” a population which reports that its strategy of winning youths necessitates “coaching” and “guiding” children into homosexual experimentation.\[114\]
Both the reality of predatory gay “counselors” and the bi/homosexual lust for “straight” boys
and men, confirmed throughout the homosexual literature and in the Reisman & Johnson Report,
received a pithy corroboration from Camille Paglia whose remarks, again ignored by the mainstream
media, address the vulnerability of college youth.

Paglia is remarkably candid about the lesbian and bi/homosexual need to recruit “straights.”

“Today, when a freshman has an affair with another girl, all the campus
social-welfare machinery pushes her toward declaring herself gay and accepting and
‘celebrating’ it. This is a serious mistake….

[The] campus counselors who encourage such premature conclusions should be condemned and banished. They
are preying, for their own ideological purposes, on young people at their most
vulnerable…”

“It’s ridiculous to assert that gay men are interested only in other gay men…. When I heard this on TV, I burst out laughing…. Sexual tension and appraisal are
constants, above all among gay men, who never stop cruising everything in sight.
Seduction of straight studs [especially boys] is a highly erotic motif in gay porn.”

Paglia’s empirical confirmation is fully recorded in the Reisman & Johnson report from which
is taken the step-by-step article on “How To Seduce a Straight Man” at left, The Advocate, March 28,
1989, p. 41 (feign heterosexual interest in his sports activities and such, spend time getting him to
trust you then get him drunk, tell him your sex secrets and…) For, these lures are commonly
advanced not only to college freshmen but to junior high and high school children (see typical
recruitment ads--at upper left Lasco Newspaper, George Mason Jr-Sr. High, Falls Church City, VA,
student paper, March 9, 1992. Above left, The Multnomah County Children & Youth Services
Commission, Portland, Oregon) inching down to elementary school, urging “questioning” children to say “YES” to bi/homosexuality.

“ONE TEENAGER IN 10”

Sexuality philosophers like Gilbert Herdt, the editor of Gay and Lesbian Youth, define adult homosexuals (not “bisexuals”) as “coaches” and “guides,” who help children overcome their heterosexual victim status by “coming out” into homosexuality. Protected by federal and state law, homosexual “guides” teaching “tolerance,” “sexual diversity” and such, frighten susceptible child audiences with Kinsey’s “scientific” claim that, “at least one in ten of you are gay.” Objectors are labeled “homophobic” fascists. Supporters are rewarded with love, approval and inspiring encouragement to be “gay and proud.”[115]

In an era of pandemic child sexual abuse and pedophile predators—despite the mortality of AIDS—sexual license is regularly proffered in classrooms by allegedly platonic pedagogues who claim to harbor no libidinous yearnings for their charges. Lesbian teacher, Virginia Uribe began the current practice of recruiting children into homosexuality via the classroom:

PROJECT 10, named after Kinsey’s (1948) estimate that 10% of the population is exclusively homosexual…has become a district-wide and nation-wide forum for…lesbian, gay, and bisexual teenagers.[116]

But, the miseducation and entrapment of children under national programs like “PROJECT 10” continues Kinsey’s canon. Fully 38% of the short stories in ONE TEENAGER IN 10, (the standard, sexually-explicit text commonly given to PROJECT 10 initiates) celebrated child-adult sexual activity. This gay-school text legitimizes child sexual abuse and cites the children as aggressors as in, when “I was twelve [and] my dance teacher… brought me out;”[117] I was in “seventh grade” when I moved in with my “32-year-old-lover;”[118] or “I was in “fourth grade” and had sex with “my uncle;”[119] “I’m fifteen,” and have sex with “this guy Reggie, who is 23,” etc.[120] (See part XI on SESAME for data on teacher predators.)
Camille Paglia assessed Kinsey’s fraudulent “one in ten” service to homosexual revolutionaries.

The 10 percent figure, severely repeated by the media, was pure propaganda, and it made me, as a scholar, despise gay activists for their unscrupulous disregard for the truth. Their fibs and fabrications continue, now about the still-fragmentary evidence for a genetic link to homosexuality and for homosexual behavior among animals.[121]

Further on you will hear a homosexual activist ask “where to get” children for recruitment into homosexuality. He will propose methods to subvert parents who fear their children will be seduced into homosexuality. Various homosexual revolutionaries have found successful methods to obtain youth. Child “initiates” are increasingly recruited via public, private and parochial schools; programs, films, lectures, courses, productions and the like, in state-sponsored, consciousness raising programs like the following three.

NEW YORK SCHOOLS: In 1991 the AIDS Program Services, New York City Department of Health published and distributed, “A Teenager’s Bill Of Rights” to New York schoolchildren. The pamphlet, endorsed by a series of credible state and federal health organizations, tells the readers, “I have the right to decide whether to have sex and who to have it with” (illegal), with no reference to parents, age of consent or statutory rape. The following romantic directions grace an illustration of “How to use a condom.” Children should use condoms in:

[V]aginal sex (penis into a woman’s vagina), oral sex (penis into the mouth) and anal sex (penis into the butt). Use a dental dam….or plastic food wrap for oral sex…Hold it over her vagina to keep from getting her fluids in your mouth.[122] [Emphasis added.]

MINNESOTA SCHOOLS: The Minnesota Department of Education in its booklet “Alone No More: Developing a School Support System for Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual Youth,” stands on Kinsey’s false, “full continuum of sexual orientation.” Denying the well-known sexual trauma inherent in the initiation of lonely and isolated children into early sex, and the predictable pattern of emotional trauma and upheaval, sexual disease and even death from homosexual conduct, the twin myths of condom safety and “informed consent” have become the sex educator’s fetish. School personnel are warned that they must adhere to the new sexuality dogma or be labeled homophobes, subject to serious disciplinary action.[123]

MASSACHUSETTS SCHOOLS: Massachusetts trains homosexual initiates via the school system. Department of Education employees are reported as “describing the pleasures of homosexual sex to a group of high school students at a state-sponsored workshop, March 25, 2000.” Homosexual lecturers described the fun of “fisting,” (putting ones fist and arm into another’s rectum) in libidinous, pornographic detail. The following is censored for this monograph. A transcript appears in The Massachusetts News.

["It's] an experience of letting somebody into your body that you want to be that close and intimate with...[and] to put you into an exploratory mode.[124] [Organized by] The Commission for Gay and Lesbian Youth, made up of homosexual activists from across the state, since 1992 the Commission has used the safe schools mantra and state money to persuade over 180 schools in Massachusetts to accept the [Gay-Straight Alliance (GSA)] clubs and their activities. Parents and others who offer any criticism of the programs are regularly accused of homophobia and endangering students’ safety.[125]

While scientifically, biologically, there are no “gay” youth, society is experiencing a major cultural surge in young bi/homosexuals. Subsequent increases in disease and in deaths of youth from AIDS automatically follow.
VI. “RECRUITMENT” OF CHILDREN--“WHERE TO GET THEM”?

The following strategies for crafting “gay” children documents homosexuality as so unnatural that it requires vigilant and organized wooing to rival the very successful recruitment techniques of the United States Marines. Children, (labeled “initiates” as in prostitution or religion) are weaned from their “old fashioned” parents, “first into a self-affirming semisecret group, then by collective socialization into a “gay” cultural system”[126]— a form of cult. Homophile, Frederick Lynch spells this out in his study, “The Role of Adult Advisors” in Gilbert Herdt’s anthology, *Gay Culture in America.*[127] Hundreds of homosexual agitators who, range in age from twenty-three to the late forties[128]...[to “aid” young initiates]. [W]hat has not been brought out fully in some other coming out studies is the role of guide, teacher, or “helping hand” in either the signification state, the coming-out-stage, or both.... the often benign and helpful role that older, more experienced homosexual men play with regard to younger [males].[129] [Emphasis added.]

**Answering the Question of “Where To Get Them”**? In their 1978, 1994 New York University Press classic, *Lavender Culture,* authors Jay and Young address “Gay Youth and the Question of Consent.” I quote at length from this prestigious homosexual revolutionary manifesto, which candidly describes the organized gay campaign to recruit children. The homosexual movement has long advocated ending age of consent laws.[130] Shocked that the “gay” youth group he “guides” would not uphold the adult campaign to end the age of consent laws, Gerald Hannon, says the boys will learn better soon:

Simply being young does not endow you with a perspective on social change. That has to be learned. Consciousness-raising is crucial...And if the Gay Youth Group isn’t prepared quite yet to demand an abolition to age-of-consent laws, that’s all right. But it’s one of the things they’re preparing for...[131] [sic]

Why is sexual childhood necessary? By that I mean why is it necessary to maintain the myth that children are not sexual beings? It is maintained, after all, in the face of rather massive evidence to the contrary. Infants in their cribs have orgasms—Kinsey documented them in babies less than a year old.[132]...[T]he very concept of childhood is somewhat of a fabrication....

During the recent gay conference in Toronto, the matter of age-of-consent laws surfaced for reconsideration. Their abolition is one of the demands of the National Gay Rights Coalition (NGRC)...its contingent should contain a few teenagers... The question is where to get them. The answer, again, is to proselytize. At present, we do not have organizations that are chock-a-block full of young people...To attract young people to the gay movement in large numbers should be the challenge to the next phase of the movement. It is a challenge we have set ourselves...[Emphasis added.][133]

**“Adult Advisors, In Their Twenties And Thirties Are Around”**: Americans used to consider all bachelors with a jaundiced eye—those unwilling to commit to a woman and family—as suspect and potentially dangerous. Now, as a result of gay proselytizing in nationwide schools, many homosexual groups are at last, “chock-a-block full of young people.” “Adult advisors” also answer the challenge to what Hannon called the next phase, “to attract young people to the gay movement in large numbers.” Child “initiates” are courted; given a pseudo-home and family, welcomed, wooed, held and embraced, most too soon to die. Says Herdt:

*[T]he adult advisors, in their twenties and thirties are around.... The adolescent newcomer’s reception is also unexpectedly warm, remarkably praising and positive, for advisers make every effort to acknowledge the courage of “new people” in joining the*
group...Each person is loudly applauded.... all “new people,” those who have come for four Saturdays or less, are ushered into a smaller separate room, where they huddle with one or two advisors as an isolate...[134]

They laugh at “straights,” derided as “breeders,”[135] share clothes, are loaned money, have “fun with friends, and adult-supervised learning” until:

[Their] seclusion past, they enter the larger Horizons’ group as public initiates.... Their feeling of belonging makes the heterosexual conventions they must obey at home, school, or work seem unreal, as if they belong to another time and place...Parents are a constant source of exasperation and amusement.[136] [Emphasis added.]

Children’s past values of family and nation are treated with contempt and ridiculed as narrow-minded and shallow.

**Teaching Sex As Recruiting.** Cults commonly separate and isolate children from their parents and family members, those who might reveal to them the harm of their new “friends.” Protective adults must attend to the “gay” press proclamation that, “the [erotic] love between men and boys is at the foundation of homosexuality.”[137] Herdt, editor of *Gay and Lesbian Youth*, agreed. He explained that laws against adult sex with children are irrelevant citing a female psychologist’s view that as culture shapes behavior, “age itself becomes an “empty” variable.”[138]

Herdt articulated the homosexual movement’s call to eliminate the words “child or childhood”[139] from the vocabulary in the pedophile, *The Journal of Pedophilia*, while another homosexual academic notes some, “small boys are made into big strong men through pederasty…Aspiring initiates firmly believe this.”[140] [Emphasis added.]

**Role of “Coaches.”** Lynch says, “Indeed, in this study five subjects [boys] were taken to bars or otherwise initiated into the gay subculture by men who were either the same age or older.” Writing in *After the Ball*, gay rights strategists Kirk and Madsen are candid about what commonly happens to boys in “gay bars” with “men” the “same age or older” than a teenage boy.

[Un]less we have a young, handsome face and tight body, and dress in fashionable clothing, the minute we step through the door of a gay bar we learn who the real queer bashers are: us...Every year, the ideals of countless naïve, fresh-faced youths are—metaphorically, if not literally—gang-raped in the bars by older, more cynical predators...Wanting to retrieve something from the ruin of their hopes...sooner or later, there they are—at the Greyhound bus station, waiting to greet the next busload of farm boys from Peoria....One very young New York City boy, homosexually active since puberty, spent his entire sixteenth birthday riding trains through the city and weeping for his lost youth.[141]

If taking boys into gay bars (illegal) where men pick up the youngsters for quickie sex is “benign,” what does Lynch mean by, “otherwise initiated” into “the gay subculture,” an old/new form of cult.

**Induction: 1) “Sensitization,” 2) “Signification,” and 3) “Coming Out”:** The “gay” child requires sustained contact with one or more homosexual “coaches” to establish the child’s “sexual identity as a homosexual,” -- to facilitate “the process of coming out.”[142] A critical read of these “gay” position papers on youth identifies both unambiguous seduction and the absence of any candid admissions of the massive, organized boy prostitution traffic. For such adult “guides” and “advisors” as often as not seek profit from using and selling the boys’ sexual favors. The critical reader—bearing in mind the boy prostitution traffic--ponders Lynch’s three-stage initiation process:
First, is the “sensitization” stage—a same-sex experience in “childhood or adolescence.”
(Here Lynch documents early sexual trauma as normally causal in a homosexual outcome.)

Second, is the “signification” stage—when a child wonders about his or her heterosexuality “in their late teens or... somewhat earlier--usually by reading about homosexuality.” (A regular occurrence now in classroom activity and via establishment media. [Emphasis added.]

Third is the “coming out” stage (frequently at that gay bar where benign “advisors” bring their boys).

“The Role of Helping Hands:” Herdt carelessly notes that many hundreds of homosexual “volunteers” who “range in age from twenty-three to the late forties” act as “adult advisors” for these children. And, sociologist Fredrick Lynch adds;

[What has not been brought out fully in some other coming out studies is the role of guide, teacher, or “helping hand” in either the signification state, the coming-out-stage, or both...the often benign and helpful role that older, more experienced homosexual men play with regard to younger [males].

In the last decade there have been several homosexual kidnap-rape-castrations of boys, including at least one infamous kidnapping, rape and decapitation in 1981 of little Adam Walsh by two homosexual “lovers.” Robin Lloyd provides a litany of police cases of boy sex murders. On point, one boy was picked up by two men...and castrated...Both men said they were homosexual. The older man worked as a counselor for the Helping Hands Community Center, a gay organization.

In yet another homosexual murder, a man mortally stabbed a boy during sex while in a common turn of events seen often today in homosexual murders, a boy, apparently sodomized for years by a “a former social worker,” stabbed the elderly man to death, neither story covered by the establishment media. And, bearing in mind that older boys often initiate younger children into the affluent homosexual life of pornography and prostitution, Lloyd cites one “gay youth” who fatally stabbed a youngster for rebuffing “the elder’s sexual advance.”

Says Lloyd, “Stories of violence like these are commonplace” in the “gay” world. Indeed, although unreported by the mainstream media, a recent DoJ report finds that male juveniles were the offenders in 40% of “the sexual assaults of children under age 12.”

GLASS Board Of Directors, Volunteers, Staff Sexually Assault Children. A small press item noted that the major “gay youth” counseling agency, “Gay and Lesbian Adolescent Social Services” (GLASS), routinely abused children in their “five group homes.” The group’s license was “put on probation for five years.” The Washington Times reported:

[Staff members, members of the GLASS board of directors, and volunteers sexually abused or molested children. A night supervisor was accused of sodomy and threatening children, while a volunteer gave children dildos and made pornographic magazines.

Rare indeed is a report of the common role of pornography in sex crimes against children. The nonchalantly brazen savagery toward these children suggests that this kind of conduct may be common for those who “counsel” with “gay youth.” The GLASS director, staff and volunteers believed their own Kinseyan propaganda, that children are sexual from birth and that “gay” children are unharmed by perverse sex with adults. In a similar case of “social workers” abusing needy
children, Ron Berry, a powerful black “civil-rights leader who founded a non-profit agency to help poor youths,” was recently convicted of child abuse after three decades of sodomizing scores of boys in his care in the Mexico City Government Program in Lexington, Kentucky.[156] The very tenacious black attorney, Gayle Slaughter, responsible for obtaining the evidence, which led to Berry’s conviction stated:

[Child sex abuse is a problem of global proportions.... primarily because of fraudulent data used by the late Dr. Alfred C. Kinsey... put[ting] children... at great risk for sex abuse. Some European communities have lowered the age of consent to 12 years... [T]raining manuals for law enforcement agencies say that whenever one pedophile is uncovered in a community, there are usually other pedophiles present there.[157]

One... testimony... disclosed allegations of an overnight out-of-state trip where a bus load of homosexuals were granted access to a dormitory of Lexington black teen males [where they engaged in] ongoing harassment through sexual verbiage, which intimidated both male and female teens.[158]

And, in The Franklin Cover-Up, “Child Abuse, Satanism, and Murder in Nebraska,” Former State Senator John DeCamp documents another massive bi/homosexual child sex ring stretching from Lincoln, Nebraska to federal legislators in Washington, D.C.[159]

The Arizona Republic Phoenix cites a gym teacher given 88 years for abusing at least five boys. The jury apparently disagreed with his attorney who said research shows molested children often have no ill effects. The Seattle Post-Intelligence Reporter cited a local Boy Scout volunteer convicted on “several counts of child molestation” of 12-year-old boys, [160] and so on and on and on. Unfortunately, while many of these children have run away from distant, hostile or even brutal parents, on the evidence, with exceptions, children are safer even with such families than with lonely, sexually unstable and exploitive adults.

Adult “Guides,” “Teachers.” On the one hand it has taken organizational commitment, planning and effort to cultivate and initiate the current crop of bi/homosexual youth. However, on the other hand homosexuality (bisexuality and transgendered) is the only extant sexuality cult receiving both tax dollars and direct access to school children, with laws protecting “PROJECT 10” type teachers and with penalties for protesters. The advisor, guide, teacher, or “helping hand” aids recruitment and limits potential escapees during all stages of initiation of children into bi/homosexuality.[161] Employing the language of religion and ritual, Professor Herdt says adult-led gay youth groups direct the:

adolescent’s entry first into a self-affirming semisecret group, then by collective socialization into a “gay” cultural system.[162] ... [Men] seclude and protect the youths, furthering their initiation... which socializes them further into the cultural system of the gay community”[163]

These “adolescent” initiates range, Herdt explains, “from fourteen to just under twenty-one.”[164] [Emphasis added.] Normal youth structures, like schools, exclude “youths” by age 18 and restrict children to their same age peer groups to avoid exploitation or domination of younger children. On point, the US Bureau of Justice Statistics Special Report on juvenile criminals states that nowhere in the national penal system is over 18-years identified as “youth.” Only within the allegedly non-libidinous world of homosexual youth recruitment are men redefined as minors past their teen years.

In three States... all offenders age 16 or older are excluded from the juvenile system and handled in adult court. In 10 States... all offenders age 17 or older are automatically proceeded against in adult court. In the remaining 37 States and the
District of Columbia, all defendants age 18 or older are processed as adults in criminal court.\[165\]

Lest we continue to believe in fairies and Peter Pan, in 1997 the BJS reports 4,510 largely male felons, under 18 years old, admitted to State prison for violent offenses, this includes statutory and forcible rape as well as murder.\[166\] Children being “initiated” by older juveniles, adults or over 18 “youths” are in a very dangerous environment indeed, a fact of which “gay” advocates and recruiters would be well aware.

Again, scientifically, there are no genetically born gay youth. Many school administrators who accept the carefully fabricated myths that have initiated “Project 10” and other alleged “gay youth” programs, have done so believing that a) so called “gay” youth commit suicide more than other youth and b) that these suicides are a result of the lack of acceptance of their gayness rather than the children’s traumatic lives which routinely includes early sexual abuse.

But, these “advisors” are not the children’s parents, and many, as noted, if not most, are on record as selfishly interested in “helping” these boys--in the form of obtaining sexual favors and/or economic rewards. The massive boy prostitution industry, servicing a significant percentage of the affluent homosexual community is, prime facie, organized by their elders. Yet, such stories are rarely reported to the public by the media.

VII. THE 1999 U.S. DoJ DATA ON BOY SEXUAL ASSAULT VICTIMS

During my U.S. Department of Justice study, Images of Children, Crime and Violence in Playboy, Penthouse and Hustler (1982-1985), I was stunned to find no national sex assault data for victims under age 12. Although an aggregate of anecdotal, testimonial and small independent studies confirmed a growing epidemic of child sexual abuse by adults and older children, the nation’s most vulnerable were “hidden victims” of our sexual revolution. The official DoJ data estimate is that, apparently from age 12 upward, “130,000 children are sexually abused” annually\[167\] while a new DoJ study (below) finally admits to massive child sex abuse of children under age 12—with “Child Victims” reporting boys as fully 63.5% of reported forcible sodomy victims between age 0-11 years.
Figure 3: Child Sex Abuse Reports to Law Enforcement

The preliminary report released July 2000 by a special National Incidence Based Reporting System (NIBRS), “Sexual Assault of Young Children as Reported to Law Enforcement” states that most of the sex crimes reported to police are committed against children under age 18. This defenseless population has heretofore been systematically purged from all US Department of Justice Statistical collections on sex crimes. The NIBRS states:

Until recently…[t]he only existing national data collection effort that explored the incidence of sexual assault ignored crimes against young victims. [Emphasis added.] The National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) [reported rape] involving victims ages 12 or above…. [Ignoring largely child-related abuse] such as forcible sodomy, sexual assault with an object, and forcible fondling.

Over two-thirds (67%) of all victims of sexual assault reported to law enforcement agencies, were juveniles under the age of 18… 33% were ages 12 through 17 and 34% were under age 12…. In each sexual assault category except forcible rape, children below the age of 12 were about half of all victims.}[168]
The report cites 26% of sex assault victims under 12 as boys, commonly sexually abused by men, sometimes with a female accomplice. While the DoJ chart to the left graphically suggests roughly a 20% female offender rate the report shows women as 12% of offenders of children under 6. Older juveniles also offend against boys and girls. The key age of sex abuse of boys was 4 years old.

"Based on the NIBRS data, the year in a male's life when he is most likely to be the victim of a sexual assault is age 4...By age 17 his risk of victimization has been cut by a factor of 5..."
As above, sexual violence is well documented among adult bi/homosexual males, and now the DoJ data find the smallest of boys are so victimized. What percentage of homosexuals who use boy prostitutes engage in such violence? The Reisman & Johnson Report documents a systematic use of “sexy” boys as film themes--such as advertised in the July 8, 1986 Advocate left--and continuing in the “coming out” films which may be said to dominate “gay” cinema, dramatizing boys as sexual objects for men.

For example, despite decades of promising they would never reach out to the vulnerable teen population for recruits, The Advocate cover story centers on Adam Nobel who discovered “he was gay when he was just 11 years old.” (39) A quick look at that October 10, 2000 Advocate finds “arts & entertainment” reviews cheering a Broadway play in which their hero “has a soft spot in his heart for paroled convicts and choirboys” (61); a film where “[s]eventeen-year old Justin” is involved with an older “gay lothario,” based on an English film Queer as Folk, glamorizing 15-year-old Nathan’s adventures with gay men. Another sexually “absorbing film” is Coming Out, “focusing on the love affair between teenage Mattias and 20-something Phillip, who has commitment issues” (67).

As evident as it would be to quantify pedophilia in the mainstream gay cinema, that study would be doomed in our current sexual climate. Today’s NLGJA -influenced press almost certainly would have silenced the 1984 information released by The New York Times, “Officials Cite a Rise in Killers Who Roam U.S. for Victims.” For, alongside a profile of the male killers of women and girls, the in-depth article noted the high percentage of violent homosexuals among the offenders. The NY Times reported, “Many of the most violent recent multiple murders have been committed by homosexual males.”

Examples include John Wayne Gacy (sexual mutilation of 33 young boys), William Bonin and two male accomplices (torture of 14 young men), Bruce Davis (28 men and boys), Dean Corill (“dozens” of victims), Randy Steven Kraft (perhaps 16 victims), Charles Hatcher (16 men and boys) and Patrick Wayne Kearney (18 men and boys). At the time, FBI officials reported the homosexual killers were motivated “by a sense of shame after having sexual relations with their victims.”

Again, this is not an attempt to turn the “guilt by association” tactics of gay revolutionaries on them – it would be defamatory to imply that homosexuals in general are the moral equivalent of serial killers. Nonetheless, the evidence finds a disproportionately high rate of violent sex crimes among male bi/homosexuals. Rather than debate and discuss the reasons why, homosexual revolutionaries and the NLGJA press seem more concerned about hiding any factual, causal, reports that might bring truth to the surface.

VII. INITIATION INTO PROSTITUTION

“Gay Men View These Boys As Recreational Toys:” The post Kinsey era and the rise of homosexuality has brought an exponential increase in both heterosexual and homosexual child pornography and prostitution. Adult sex with “consenting” minors is still statutory rape. Yet, the March 1992 Advocate magazine cover story blames boy victims, not their adult male victimizers, for AIDS saying; “Teen Sex: They’re Doing it—And AIDS Is Killing Them By the Thousands.”


Boy prostitution…is now surfacing with the growing acceptance of homosexuality.
…some New York City high schools students ran… prostitution rings[178]…[while] street pimps recruit and train [boy] runaways.[179]

Introducing Lloyd’s book, Senator Birch Bayh, (D-IN), liberal former Chairman of the US Senate Subcommittee to Investigate Juvenile Delinquency, confirmed that Lloyd’s data were “frightening in its accuracy,”[180] about “a hitherto undiscovered facet of the homosexual world.”[181] Says Lloyd:

*Perhaps half of the million runaway boys in this country* (aged 10 to 16) are peddling their bodies to “chicken hawks” – older men who lust for “chicken [boys]”[182]…There are tightly run organizations… geared to provide wealthy clients with both pornography and boys… their boys will entertain movie stars, prominent athletes politicians, and in some cases, heads of state.[183]

**Roughly Half A Million Boy Prostitutes:** Lloyd’s observation that “Boy prostitutes in Times Square outnumber female hookers five to one” gives pause. At 1-2 million “gay” men, (under 2% of the 90 million over 18-male population),[184] 500,000 yields one boy victim for roughly every other homosexual male. Recall that this does not count abuse (earlier noted at roughly 130,000 child victims over age 12 annually) and seduction in the non-prostitute (normal) boy population. Although few homosexual killings (to date) rival Jeffrey Dahmer’s gruesome cannibalization of 17 boys,[185] Lloyd cites the Houston mass murders of at least twenty-seven boys out of three hundred molested by “a homosexual trio of sadists.” Lloyd was concerned that press coverage of these rape-murders “would set the gay community back about ten years.”[186] But, while Kinsey’s fraudulent data (“10%” of the population is homosexual) are continuously reprinted, the Houston murderers and other sadistic boy sex killings are steadfastly buried and forgotten.

As noted earlier, while the *homosexual* press often reports adult inter-gay murders, both they and the establishment press avoid covering homosexual assaults and murders of boys -- like Jesse Dirkhising. Lloyd points out that, short of murder, parents commonly don’t prosecute homosexual abusers, fearing “the publicity,”[187] while police often finesse important or affluent predators out of town.[188]

Having obtained endorsements from leaders in the homosexual movement,[189] Lloyd still exposes some homosexual child sex rings. Boise, Idaho “sheltered a widespread homosexual underworld that…had preyed on hundreds of teen-age boys.”[190] A Wisconsin town quietly suppressed, “a homosexual ring” of about thirty-five closeted men, including prestigious leaders such as a College Dean, a hospital president, a Roman Catholic priest, several physicians, a man “hired to teach at a school for mentally retarded children,” etc.[191] The “homosexual ring…had enticed and contaminated many small boys as young as eight years old” into sex, with liquor and pornography.[192] Investigator Clifford Lindecker, confirms Lloyd’s findings of brutal and “respectable” pederasts fueling a flourishing traffic in boy prostitution.

Boy prostitution…is growing and becoming more obvious as homosexuals come out of the closets and form gay rights groups and police power is watered down by court decisions friendlier to civil rights than to law enforcement and protection of the public… [B]oys learn that they can earn good money doing something they and their friends have come to accept as normal behavior.[193]
The Gay Lifestyle Facilitates Boy Prostitution. After admitting, “sex abuse in childhood as a cause of male prostitution has become almost conventional wisdom,” and that, “the perpetrators are nearly always men,” homophile Donald West, in *Male Prostitution* protests that while his study of male prostitutes found high rates of child sexual abuse, he felt there was a high rate of consent.[194]

Prior to M&K, the bi/homosexual movement was much more candid about pederasty and their articles, illustrations, and advertisements reflect that. The artist for the mainstream gay publication, *The Advocate* created the boy image above left, “this playful character,” as *The Advocate’s* “unofficial mascot” for years. However, while the “mascot” is the innocent, undeveloped face of a boy roughly 10-years-old, his face has been presented upright by this author since the “mascot’s” face has been drawn onto a superman’s macho-male adult body—(a common girl-woman technique found as well in heterosexual pornography). Below left is the young boy “mascot,” (reduced here to 1/3 its size), as he is presented rump-up, offering more than just a suggestion of “consensual” sexual use to hundreds of thousands of avid adult *Advocate* male viewers. Addressing boy prostitution, West says:

“Gay Search… comments on the fact that children are often given presents after cooperating with an abuser and writes: “it is easy to see how being rewarded for sexual favors can lead… very naturally to prostitution[195]…”

“Boyer (1989) has argued that the culture of gay bars and meeting places, often referred to as a sexual market place (Read, 1980) by its emphasis on casual encounters and sexual conquest, holds out to young gays the expectation of patronage by older males and presents prostitution as a more or less normal aspect
Lloyd reports yet another “gay” child pornography case where a group of boys seeking “counseling” from a junior high “science teacher,” were recruited into sodomy and pornography. One Los Angeles schoolteacher brought “groups of boys aged seven through thirteen across the border every three months.” And West’s analysis confirms common knowledge about the brutality innate to prostitution and homosexuality:

Many sexual assaults happening in later years were described during the interviews … forced by clients and their accomplices to submit to unwanted, painful or damaging sexual acts. Just as many men reported assaults in the course of sexual contacts unconnected with prostitution… the risk is to an extent inherent in some gay male lifestyles.

“Mainstream” gay activists furiously deny any and all attempts to link homosexuality with sexual abuse or violence to children, and understandably so. No group would want to be on the receiving end of the “guilt by association” tactics advocated by M&K. While this article does not suggest that all homosexuals are child molesters, a terrible accusation, there is overwhelming evidence that “mainstream” gay activists and publications promote pedophilia (sex between adults and children of the same sex). In all fairness, heterosexual pornographers have engaged in similar tactics (see the Reisman report on *Images of Children, Crime and Violence in Playboy, Penthouse and Hustler*, 1989). However, bi/homosexual activists present a public face that is far different from its private face.

The Boy Scout, above right, is the official mascot embellishing *The Queens’ Vernacular*, compiled by language anthropologist and homophile, Bruce Rodgers. *The Queens’ Vernacular* is fully identified as the key dictionary to classify the language of the homosexual movement. That 254 of the 12,000 words and phrases in *The Queens’ Vernacular* refer to sex with boys (chicken, ready to
crack, pluck some feathers, chicken dinner, butchered chicken, etc.) is objectively clear from the definition of “boy scout:” as “boy-scout queen: (sexually experimenting boy scouts who fear giving a response) one who pretends to snooze as he is fu-ked or su-ked off,” [201] [word ellipses inserted by author].

"Adolescents" With AIDS, The Advocate, March 24, 1992

![Graph showing AIDS demographics]

**Figure 5: Boys AIDS Dead/Dying From Statutory Rape**

**Ratio is 5:1 AIDS Boys to Girls:** The toxicity of “gay male lifestyles” and homosexual versus heterosexual child abuse is indicated by a [5:1] ratio of boy-to-girl AIDS victims. As is documented in the Reisman & Johnson report, by 1991 the CDC identified 7,166 boys and 1,537 girls (also infected by bi/homosexual boyfriends) dying of AIDS.[202] Where are the thousands of child sex murder convictions for the men who fatally infected these boys and girls or the outcry from establishment media on behalf of these children? Instead of prosecution, schools provide carte blanche to predators by implying that boys with AIDS were “gay” from childhood. By labeling boy AIDS victims “consenting gays,” homosexual spokesmen “blame the victims,” blaming the child’s own murder upon the young “initiate.”

**World AIDS Day Estimates 84% of Boys with AIDS are Infected by Adults:** One famous homosexual advertisement reads: "Are you a man who has sex with men? If so, you should know that 1 in 5 of your prospective partners could be infected with AIDS."[203] As discussed earlier, homosexual men are documented as stunningly promiscuous with “43 percent” admitting to sex with over 500.[204] This “1 in 5” chance of dying from AIDS is therefore 100% fatal for most children socialized into homosexual Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual, Questioning, etc., Youth school clubs. And, too many of these children will join what appears to them at the time to be the financially rewarding “profession” of prostitution.

Why do no investigative reporters find out “who are the men who gave 7,166 boys and 1,537 girls AIDS in 1991 and thousands more since?” That is mass murder. No bi/homosexual press, no “gay” leader or organization has demanded a moratorium on the activities of adult gay lecturers, “advisors,” “guides” and “coaches” in the schools in the wake of the disease and deaths associated with bi/homosexual sex. None have called for capture and punishment of the adult child sex abusers/murders. Even Gebe Kruks, a homosexual leader who protested these violations does not
call for the arrests of the children’s killers. Kruks wrote:
Gay boys,... having sex for money, shelter, love—they are at risk....Gay men view these boys as recreational toys to be used. I have heard many stories of HIV-positive men having unprotected sex with boys. They don’t think it matters.[205]

Considering Homosexuality and Pediatric AIDS: To hide the fact that 84% of AIDS children appear to be infected by bi/homosexuals, the “World AIDS Day” artfully reports “16% of adolescents with AIDS, aged 13 through 19... have been infected through heterosexual contact.”[206] The current dearth of data on causation of pediatric AIDS illustrates the deliberate censorship of politically incorrect research. Dr. George Rekers of the Department of Neuropsychiatry at the University of South Carolina, School of Medicine, confirmed Dr. Lorraine Day’s observations regarding the difficulties, indeed rejections encountered by researchers who seek funding or publication if their results are unacceptable to homosexual and politically correct authorities.[207]

Perhaps the most cynical of the anti-child state science activities has been governmental veto of research which located child sexual abuse as causal in pediatric AIDS. One respected researcher’s preliminary government funded studies found,

[14.6% of children with AIDS had] “been sexually abused....Transmission by child sexual abuse was the most frequent of the proven modes of acquisition of HIV in this population” “Twelve males were identified (n=8) or suspected (n=4) of being perpetrators.”[208]

The research team’s funding requests to continue pediatric AIDS studies were rejected and this area of inquiry at least temporarily abandoned, arguably due to the politically correct atmosphere.

Figure 6: A Minimum of 59% of Boys Infected by Men

| “HIV Transmission Routes in Adolescents Aged 13-21 in the United States” |
|---------------------------------|------------------|
| Male Homosexuals/bisexual males | 51%              |
| Transfusion recipients          | 22%              |
| Male homosexual intravenous drug users | 8%            |
| Heterosexuals                   | 8%               |
| Intravenous drug users          | 6%               |
| Other                           | 5%               |

*(These statistics are taken from the study “AIDS Among Adolescents,” published in the October 1990 issue of the American Journal of Diseases of Children.)*

The Advocate included this table, (Figure 6), identifying at least 59% of adolescents with AIDS directly infected by adult bi/homosexuals. It is reprinted here verbatim.[209] Said The Advocate:

“Now in the big cities, if you have sex with two [gay] men, you have a 50% chance of....someone who is HIV-positive.”[210]
Since homosexual activity allegedly starts younger than in the past--at about age 15\textsuperscript{[211]} or younger\textsuperscript{[212]}--“advisors” lure boys into “coming out” (“the most important rite of passage in gay life”)\textsuperscript{[213]} to pandemic venereal diseases \textit{and death} for \textit{at least} 25\% of these sexually adventurous boys in approximately 5 years.

\textbf{IX. “INTERGAY BATTERY” AS REAL HATE CRIMES}

If children are more at risk of sexual disease and prostitution from homosexuals than heterosexuals, are they more at risk from sexual violence as well? West already addressed the violence experienced by boys in prostitution, but in their frank but empathetic book, \textit{Men Who Beat the Men Who Love Them}, homosexual activists, Island and Letellier document inter-gay battery as a primary homosexual health problem after 1) AIDS (males), Cancer (females) and 2) drug abuse. They write:

\begin{quote}
It is…likely…the incidence of domestic violence among gay men is nearly double that in the heterosexual population…as many as 650,000 gay men may be victims of domestic violence each year\textsuperscript{[214]}…50 percent of gay male couples\textsuperscript{[215]}…We believe [heterosexual domestic abuse] is closer to 20\%..\textsuperscript{[216]} [D]omestic violence is acknowledged, talked about, and dealt with more in straight relationships than in gay male relationships.\textsuperscript{[217]} \textit{[Emphasis added.]}
\end{quote}

\textit{The Advocate} reports that \textit{at minimum}:

\begin{itemize}
\item 75\% of its readers admit to engaging in violent sex.
\item 20\% engaged in sadistic “bondage and discipline.”
\item 55\% engaged in other sex acts using painful objects.\textsuperscript{[218]}
\end{itemize}

Compared to heterosexual distrust or dislike, the rare assault inflicted on someone at a bar, and the singular although horrible aberrant murder--it is fair to say that the on-going, most significant “hate crimes” against homosexuals are, as Kirk and Madsen noted, inflicted by homosexuals.\textsuperscript{[219]}

\begin{quote}
[In 1987] the San Francisco police responded to no fewer than 100 calls per month for gay and lesbian domestic violence …[T]here are thousands upon thousands of victims of gay men’s domestic violence in the United States each month.\textsuperscript{[220]}
\end{quote}

In 1981 the homosexual press reported that about 10\% of San Francisco’s homicides resulted from homosexual sadomasochistic abuse.\textsuperscript{[221]} Hiding these facts from the public allows the use of the classroom to seduce children into a homosexual life of violence and sadism and too many into the trade in youthful prostitution and pornography. But, is there \textbf{research} on teachers as predators?
X. SESAME WORKS TOWARD A REMEDY

Survivors of Educator Abuse Emerge (SESAME): Yes, some. The “Helping Hands” and GLASS exposés allowed a rare peek into the inner workings of predatory, revolutionary teachers and counselors. On the evidence, pedophiles and pederasts, independently and organizationally, seek out jobs or volunteer where they can have access to children. Unfortunately an unknown percentage of adult child abusers are music, sports, coaches and teachers, as in the largely covered-up GLASS criminal convictions. The pederast magazine *Palavar* warns molesters of how to avoid arrest while obtaining children.

“[L]ook for employment…If you want to spend all your time with children you must have a legitimate reason for doing so; as a teacher helping children learn…as a social worker helping children in difficulties; as a play or youth leader helping children to enjoy their leisure time…child care, teaching…charity and voluntary organizations catering for the needs of children; there is the Free School movement; children’s rights and various alternative life-style projects…We believe that a paedophile relationship is no less legitimate and no more potentially harmful to a child than a normal parental relationship.”

Five Pedophile Headmasters: With today’s opportunities to bring sex into the classroom, responsible adults are obliged to question what number of those adults “helping” children on sexuality issues are likely pedophiles, pederasts or their naïve supporters. We now know Kinsey, for example, “was deeply influenced by five paedophile headmasters who were quite clear they had very warm relationships with young adolescent boys of twelve or thirteen” in one New England area.

Particularly as obscenity and pornography seduce and habituate even well educated men and women via the introduction of pornography in home, schools and library Internet connections, vulnerable boys and girls are increasingly at risk from a growing molester constituency. Just in the last few years the NIH luminary and Nobel Prize winner, Dr. Carleton Gadjusek; American University President, Dr. Richard Berendzen; and American University psychology department head, Elliot McGinnies were convicted of pedophile associated felonies. Degrees in higher education no longer appear to restrain predatory pedophiles.

“SESAME”: “A Voice for the Prevention of Sexual Exploitation, Abuse, and Harassment of Students by Teachers and Other School Staff,” focuses attention on sexual predators among a toxic
subset of the teaching population. SESAME reports that the *Journal of Education Research* (1991) finds in its "Survey of high school graduates …13.5% of those surveyed said they had engaged in sexual intercourse with a teacher."[227]

**15% Of Students Say Sexually Abused By Faculty Or Staff:** In yet another survey reported by SESAME, “The best estimate is that 15% of students will be sexually abused by a member of the school staff during their school career.”[228] "Criminal convictions of Ontario teachers in 1996-97 involves enough victims to fill an entire classroom."[229] And, “Many abusers are in positions of power or trust in relation to their victims which makes it easier to overcome a child’s resistance.”[230] Homosexual “volunteers” in schools and at the “Gay and Lesbian and Bisexual and Transgendered” youth clubs to “aid” children need to be seen in concert with old fashioned wariness about “bachelors,” in general and homosexual bachelors in particular, especially considering the damaging reports from GLASS and SESAME regarding sexual predators using classrooms to access a pool of child victims.

Post Kinsey’s sexual revolution, school—and public libraries--have increasingly housed predatory authorities – women and men -- dangerous to girls and boys.[231] One of the ways these predators harm children, has been their provision of pornography via the library Internet connection. In fact, June 5, 2000, an e-mail on the rape-torture and murder of 10-year-old Jeffrey Curley was delivered to the American Library Association’s “Office for Intellectual Freedom List.” Stuart Shepard, wrote that the boy’s killers “stopped at the Boston Public Library, where Charlie Jaynes [one of the boy’s killers] accessed the North American Man-Boy Love Association (NAMBLA) Web site.” *The Miami Herald* reported a police Internet hunt for child molesters.

The Internet is a new playground for pedophiles … We’ve arresting firemen, lawyers, judges, teachers, coaches, cops – you name it…. The task force arrested a prominent lawyer and former judge… [who planned to start] a sexual relationship with a 14-year-old boy. [232]

**XI. THE ALA SERVING PEDERASTS, PORNOPHAGERS AND PEDOPHILES**

The American Library Association (ALA) and our public libraries, as the support system for our schools, may be contributing as much as the schoolroom to the recruitment of children into sexual promiscuity and deviance. The court will decide, as in the case of the murdered Jeffrey Curley, if the ALA and American libraries are contributing also to both child rape and murder.

As a member of The Media Coalition, the ALA commonly acts as a friend of pornographers in court cases such as *New York v. Ferber* (458 U.S. 103, 1982). The ALA argued for Ferber, the right of parents and guardians to use, coerce or force their children--of all ages--to be filmed in “Sexual Conduct,” heterosexual, homosexual and bestial. The U.S. Supreme Court unanimously ruled against Ferber as legalizing child sexual abuse for profit, that abuse defined as:

*actual or simulated sexual intercourse, deviate sexual intercourse, sexual bestiality, masturbation, sadomasochistic abuse or lewd exhibition of the genitals.[233]*

The ALA in San Francisco allowed its rooms to be used by NAMBLA as a meeting place and in 1994, *American Library Association v. Reno*, No. 92-5271, slip op. at 28, the ALA was repudiated in its defense of child pornographers by the United States Court of Appeals, District of Columbia. The use of its prestige to aid pederasts and child pornographers suggests the ALA’s commitment to a historically "anti-American" cultural standard -- adult sexual assault of children.
While some librarians attempt to remain independent from ALA policies, ALA authority is manifested in the stock of radical books, periodicals and journals promoting most leftist policies, including that of gay/lesbian “rights,” etc., as well as in the exclusion of conservative titles from library shelves. The ALA’s anti-American control of our public libraries and librarians is impressively demonstrated by the union’s refusal to filter illegal Internet pornography — even from children—in our taxpayer funded community libraries.

XII. WORLD WAR II: THE GERMAN SOCIALIST TEACHERS ASSOC.

Camille Paglia, cited earlier, described the tactics of modern gay rights activists as “Stalinist” in her book, *Vamps and Tramps*.

I was the only openly gay person at the Yale Graduate School (1968-1972), a candor that was professionally costly. That anyone with my aggressive and scandalous history could be called ‘homophobic,” as has repeatedly been done, shows just how insanely Stalinist gay activism has become.

Actually, “Nazi” or “fascist” might be more accurate descriptions. The leftist ALA mirrors the National Socialist Hitler Youth groups installed in the nation’s schools over German parental protests. In order to wrest the German youth from their parents’ traditional German ways, Adolph Hitler obtained nearly total cooperation from German librarians and teachers. The German “National Socialist Teachers Association” recruited schoolboys and girls to serve the new media and Nazi party just as today the gay and lesbian youth groups serve homosexual revolutionaries. Maria Trapp gave evidence of such assaults on German traditions in her report of the Trapp Family Singers:

This morning we were told (by the Nazi’s) at the (school) assembly that our parents are nice, old-fashioned people who don't understand the new Party. We should leave them alone and not bother. We are the hope of the nation, the hope of the world. We should never mention at home what we learn at school.

Having myself lost hundreds of family members in the Holocaust, the role of the American Library Association, the National Education Association and other teachers unions is seen as too closely mimicking Nazi history. Hitler's rise to power—which depended on the bullying techniques of the homosexual “Brown Shirts”-- is a critical part of that concern, especially due to the modern, scandalous myth of homosexual victimization by the Nazis.

This is especially worrying when one examines the successes of radical librarians and teachers in similarly removing the Ten Commandments from our schools as they also attack the morals and values of American parents and American tradition. Children's literature analyst Christa Kamenetsky notes that Germans complained bitterly about the removal of the Ten Commandments from German libraries and schoolrooms and the substitution of coarse and violent stories which ridiculed parental religious values.

In order to achieve a total control over the minds of children, the Nazis... engaged thousands of censors, drafted mainly from the members of the National Socialist Teachers Association and the Reich Youth Leadership organization who would systematically screen every book that was published, sold, loaned, purchased, circulated, or discussed. *Through school reforms and a reorganization of libraries throughout the nation they further institutionalized censorship measures that would separate the books they preferred from those that were to be discarded...they hoped to reach their goal... in the decades to come... the Nazi's made children's books into the means toward another*
end that would perpetuate the power of the Third Reich both at the time and in the future. [239]

The current “politically correct” schoolrooms, removing traditional American beliefs and substituting sexual and anti-religious themes, are a replay of the German librarians and the National Socialist Teachers Association, on the level of morality and sexuality. World War II would not have been possible, but for the role of the Nazi librarians and the German National Socialist Teachers Association in the mass recruitment and programming of German youth—wresting them from the religious traditions of their parents and using government schools to propagandize the values of the new authorities.

[When] the German Student Association remove[d] all “un-German” books from the libraries, the public did not feel altered to systems of control that soon would permeate all spheres of German cultural and political life. [240]

So too we are seeing new “systems of control” establishing “hate speech” that disallows criticism of bi/homosexual recruitment while committing pornographic pedagogical sexual assaults on school children in our schools and libraries. Kinsey's fraudulent cultural and sexual data helped grant elite, “educated” pedagogues undeserved power. Once “uneducated” parents lost local control of their children's education, we saw the failure of our nation's public schools and subsequently the failure of our nation's children by every measurable criterion of health and well-being, from scholarship to sexually violent criminality. Unless librarians and teachers are returned to their proper roles as working under the authority of parents and accountable as such to American parents and society, we may anticipate continued and growing youthful disability and violence.

XIII. WHAT IS HOMOSEXUAL “ORIENTATION?”

The Reisman & Johnson Comparative Analysis. The Reisman & Johnson Study: Partner Solicitation Language as a Reflection of Male Sexual Orientation (1988-1992) examined the claim that “gay men lead daily lives that are basically similar to those of heterosexual men.” [241]

The study, conducted by this author and statistician, Charles B. Johnson, Ph.D., (1995), sought, via an analysis of the personal advertisements of two male partner-seeking populations—one homosexual (The Advocate) and one heterosexual (The Washingtonian)—to determine if these two male populations supported the increasingly popular view that “The sex and love lives of most gay and straights today are both similar and conventional.” [242]

The Reisman & Johnson data are verifiable via most large public libraries that carry these mainstream magazines. The study found the sample of homosexual bachelors wholly different from that of heterosexual bachelors in their partner seeking conduct. Below is a summary of five key research findings comparing upscale, liberal, largely white, educated Advocate bi-homosexual bachelors to upscale, liberal, largely white, educated Washingtonian heterosexual bachelors.

- 86% of Washingtonians versus 2% of Advocates sought/offered time commitment;
- 49% of Washingtonians versus 3% of Advocates sought/offered non-sexual interests;
- 5% of Washingtonians versus 63% of Advocates sought/offered prostitution;
- 0.41% of Washingtonians vs. 25% of Advocates sought/offered sexual sadism;
- 0.45% of Washingtonians versus 15% of Advocates sought/offered adult-teen sex.

These findings show dramatic “orientation” differences on all critical variables not statistically attributable to “chance,” all of which report, statistically, a homosexual lifestyle of compulsive and sadistic promiscuity, disinclined to commitment and inclined to prostitution and the sexual abuse of minors—at best. In fact, even Kirk and Madsen bemoaned, “There is more promiscuity among gays
Moreover, despite their focus on finding sex partners, the Advocate carried no major condom advertisements nor did their In Search Of (ISO) advertisers express any condom requirements for sexual partners.

XIV. CONCLUSION

Tom Reeves, who had come out as a boy-lover [sic] a year earlier, said “over five hundred men had spoken with him since then… and who are they? Almost to a man they are teachers and Boy Scout leaders and boys’ club leaders!”

Story about Tom Reeves, NAMBLA Founder.

Why is Kinsey’s role as the father of sex “science” important to any debate about homosexual and sex education in the schools? The mandate to teach bi-homosexual and heterosexual experimentation as legitimate for children and youths originated with Kinsey’s data which formulated a revolutionary belief in child and juvenile sexual need and entitlement. (Kinsey’s fraudulently created sexuality canon and the false experts who emerged from that canon, should have been the underpinning of the Dale vs. Boy Scouts lawsuit).

Justification for teaching about sex acts to children is based on the unverified claim that children are sexual from birth and that juveniles are not able to be self governing because hormones are “raging” leading most youths, naturally, to sexual activity and AIDS. This requires, argue the sex “experts,” graphic sex instruction from allegedly older, wiser teachers or “guides” on sexual techniques and related issues. Since the teaching of Kinseyan sex knowledge began, sexual disease and dysfunction among adults and juveniles are rampant and show no sign of abating.

Fallout from child abuse is seen not only in resulting bi/homosexuality, misogyny, sexual sadism and/or other disorders, but also in the growing number of deadly sexually transmitted diseases and the noxious influence of the homosexual lobby upon the pediatric community.

Homosexual fallout now reaches into every baby’s crib in the United States. Delicate infant constitutions are universally at risk from federally mandated vaccinations to “protect” them from Hepatitis B, historically known as a homosexual STD. Many such mandated promiscuous, “life style” vaccines for tiny developing infants and children wait in the wings. Why are we putting our entire child population at risk of mortal and chronic pathologies -- in order to make them immune from venereal diseases that are endemic to female prostitutes or the sexually promiscuous “homosexual culture”?

Pedophile organizations that work to legalize adult sexual abuse of teenagers and children have long campaigned for such a universal sexuality vaccination program. The proposal is seen in documents such as, “A Child’s Sexual Bill of Rights.” This charter, distributed in our own university system to students studying human sexuality, calls for “aids to prevent venereal disease” as a prerequisite for legalizing adult, including parental, sexual abuse of children. The “Child’s Sexual Bill of Rights,” would legalize sex:

with a parent, sibling or other responsible adult or child, protected and aided with contraceptives and aids to prevent venereal disease.

Vaccinated child prostitutes could certainly survive (and therefore work) a bit longer than unvaccinated children. It is critical to make known the causes for homosexuality, to aid its burdened victims, and to speed an end the spread of sexual diseases and other related disorders. This material is newsworthy, if only to protect our children from becoming involuntary guinea pigs for a cocktail of promiscuous sexual “life style” vaccines which endanger their lives in a myriad of physical and
emotional ways and which serve only to protect their future victimizers.

A return to a healthful path is a difficult one indeed, following the isolation of youngsters from friends and family and their dependence upon the members of their new warmly welcoming, exciting and adventurous homosexual adult and youth groups for confirmation of self, belonging and “visibility.” There are however, several hundred homosexual recovery organizations nationwide. As with the alcohol recovery movement, which was disparaged for decades until proof of success slowly emerged, there are increasing reports and personal testimonies of restoration.[247]

Richard Cohen, author of “Alfie’s Home,” a children’s book about Cohen’s own life, his early sexual assault by an uncle, his subsequent recovery from the trauma and his marriage and family, has one such organization, The International Healing Foundation, PO Box 901, Bowie, Maryland, 90718. Recovery agencies are extremely active, despite the attempts by homosexual leaders and establishment media demanding that all homosexuals abandon any hope that they can marry, love safely, faithfully and joyously and live a productive, exciting life raising a traditional family enriched by their children and grandchildren.

The public should be aware of the vigilant efforts to negotiate a child’s “coming out” as well as the special interests of the sex industry in increasing children’s entry into pornography and prostitution. Adult society is responsible for being sufficiently mature to protect our young. Our individual and societal self indulgence and failure is therefore visible everywhere. No blame is due any child in this tragic process. The “coaches,” guilt of alleged “consensual” child abuse, should be located, arrested and prosecuted to the full limit of the law. And, as there is, on the evidence, a definite connection between homosexuality and the myriad pathologies, including pedophilia, schools and youth organizations should be legally liable for allowing bi/homosexuals any authority or influence over children. This is a sure way to severely limit the traffic in child pornography and prostitution.

This essay is dedicated to those children burdened as bi/homosexual initiates. Wherever possible, these children should be peacefully reunited with their estranged families. Where that is not possible until age 18, these troubled youths should be placed into monitored protective custody in a secure, asexual environment, safe from sexual predators of any “orientation,” where they may spiritually, intellectually and physically recover. Summarizing the danger to these “United” States inherent in the separating of children into sexual “orientations” is a warning bequeathed to us by the Father of Our Country, General George Washington:

But as it is easy to foresee, that from different causes and from different quarters, much pains will be taken, many artifices employed to weaken in your minds the conviction of this truth [that we must not permit]... the attempt to alienate any portion of our Country from the rest, or to enfeeble the sacred ties which now link together the various parts.

Former President George Washington’s, “Farewell Address,” 1796

XV. ENDNOTES

[1] Cautionary Note: This monograph addresses the major taboo in homosexual criticism. Based on empirical and historical documentation it is argued that seduction of children and youths for personal and political purposes is critical to homosexual advancement. Recruitment of children into heterosexual and homosexual promiscuity, on record as always a serious problem, is now so visibly organized as to pose a major threat to all children and society (as Feldman summarized, “these kids are our future” and we need to “invest” in them, see page 11). Those adverse to candid debate and verifiable data authenticating these especially grim homosexual harms may, of course, avoid reading this paper.

Update: At press time those soliciting this paper at The Stanford Law Review in the year 2000, rejected it and all four other academic
papers presenting politically incorrect arguments on homosexuality.
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